Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/653,267	EINI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghighatian	1616
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Mina Haghighatian</u> .	(3)	
(2) mary Rose Scozzafava.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 120-121		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	an summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	SHELLEY A. D PRIMARY EXA	
Atinal		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 09/653,267

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted because in order to place the application in condition for allowance, it was determined that certain biologically active agents listed in claims 120 and 121, such as "a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor", "a nucleoside-analoog reverse transcriptase inhibitor" and "a protease inhibitor", were not enabeled. Applicant agreed to delet said terms from the claims.